**DOCKET NO.:** 2007-1055-WQ-E TCEQ ID: RN105232524 CASE NO.: 34112

RESPONDENT NAME: Barker Development Company, LLC

ORDER TYPE:		Company of the compan
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
Parker County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Construction sit  SMALL BUSINESS:X_Yes  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: One maintaining their structural controls. There  INTERESTED PARTIES: A complaint we comment of the comment of the comment of the control of the comment of the control of t	_No e complaint was received on April 30, 2007. The consist is no record of additional pending enforcement action as received, but complainant has not expressed a designater comment period expired on January 22, 2008.	mplainant reported that the builder was not ns regarding this facility location.  The to protest this action or to speak at Agenda.  No comments were received.  The comments were received.
		•

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED WANT Y
Type of Investigation: _X_Complaint	Total Assessed: \$2,000	Ordering Provisions:
Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	Total Deferred: \$400  X Expedited Settlement	The Order will require to the Respondent to:
Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: April 30, 2007	Financial Inability to Pay  SEP Conditional Offset: \$0	a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: May 8, 2007	Total Paid to General Revenue: \$1,600	i. Develop and implement a SWP3 to comply with the requirements of TPDES General Permit No. TXR150000; and
Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: June 26, 2007 (NOE)	Site Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor	ii. Submit a completed Notice of Intent; and
Background Facts: This was a complaint investigation. One violation was	Person Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor	b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification, and include detailed
documented.	Major Source:Yes _X_No	supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records
WATER  Failure to develop and implement a storm	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.
water pollution prevention plan ("SWP3"), and obtain permit coverage to discharge		
storm water at a construction site [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS § 122.26(c)].		Berlin (1994) et 2000 februar filt (1994) Berlin (1994) et 2000 februar

Policy Revision 2 (Sept		alty	Calculation	n Works	sheet (P		Revision June 26, 2007
TCEQ							
DATES Assigned PCW	2-Jul-2007 3-Jul-2007	Screeni	ng 3-Jul-2007	EPA Due		l Astasti sum e le defe I	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY Respondent	Barker Developm	ent Con	npany, LLC				
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN105232524				5 1		
Facility/Site Region	4- Dallas Fort/Wo	rth		Major/	Minor Source	Minor	
CASE INFORMATION				<u>Jaja jaja jaja kan k</u> a		Taba Banka	
Enf./Case ID No.				No.	of Violations		
Docket No. Media Program(s)	2007-1055-WQ-E	<u> </u>		- Enf	Order Type Coordinator	Rajesh Acharya	
Multi-Media						EnforcementTeam	6
Admin. Penalty \$ l	_imit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000			
<u> </u>		Don	alty Calcul	ation Sec	tion		
							<b>***</b>
TOTAL BASE PENAL	. IY (Sum of vi	olatio	n base penalt	ies)		Subtotal 1	\$2,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTA	L 1					in sing on the area. Only on the area of the area is
Subtotals 2-7 are obtain		Total Base				-4-4-3-3-0-7	. \$0
Compliance Histo	ory		<u> </u>	6 Enhancement	SUDI	otals 2, 3, & 7   	. ф0
Notes	N	o adjust	ment due to comp	oliance history.			
18-7-1-1-1 18-3-1-1-1-1						]	
Culpability	No	Made	00	6 Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The res	pondeni	t does not meet th	ne culpability cr	iteria.		
	1110100	po					
Good Faith Effor	t to Comply	W. 1965	0'	% Reduction		Subtotal 5	\$0
	Before NOV	NOV to ED	OPRP/Settlement Offe	ır			
Extraordinary Ordinary							1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
N/A	X (I	nark with	 x)			_	in a state of
Notes	The res	nonden	t does not meet t	ne good faith c	iteria		
140163	1110100	pondon		To good faith of	Tiona.		
			thinks, total to do	% Enhancement*		Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx	Total EB Amounts Cost of Compliance	\$84 \$2,000	*Capp	ed at the Total EB	\$ Amount		
Αρριολ.	Cost of Compliance	\$2,000	<u>'</u>		•		
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	S 1-7			A Hari Hall Salah Bakar Ar Kempakétéh	hindalining Janus Jaland	Final Subtotal	\$2,000
OTHER EACTORS A	C IUCTIOE M	V DE		·		1861 (14 <sub>02)</sub> by 1500 Hepton	\$0
OTHER FACTORS A Reduces or enhances the Final S			COST CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR AND ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION AND ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION AND ADM	nly; e.g30 for -30	<u> </u> )%.)	Adjustment	Ψυ
					<del></del>	]	
Notes							
	L				Final Pe	 enalty Amount	\$2,000
					7 11141 1 0	many ranount	<del></del>
STATUTORY LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT				Final Ass	essed Penalty	\$2,000
BEEFER AL					70 40204	Talland Carle 1997	A400
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Per	nalty by the indicted ne	rcentage	(Enter number only:	e.a. 20 for 20% red	<ul> <li>Security Security 19</li> </ul>	Adjustment	-\$400
land the second of the second	, 2, 2,2 ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, see the see that		,		
Notes		eferral (	offered for expedi	ted settlement.		.]	
PAYABLE PENALTY							\$1,600

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 3-Jul-2007

Docket No., 2007-1055-WQ-E

PCW

Respondent Barker Development Company, LLC

Case ID No. 34112

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105232524

Media [Statute] Water Quality

>> Compliance History *Site* Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
PCW Revision June 26, 2007

## **Compliance History Worksheet**

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
, 15.	Other written NOVs	0 1 1	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)		0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federa government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)		0%
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	Nơ	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	- No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal governmen environmental requirements	t No	0%
	Adjustment F	Percentage (S	ubtotal 2)
: Violator (Su	ibtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment F	Percentage (S	ubtotal 3)
iance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average P	Performer Adjustment F	Percentage (S	ubtotal 7)
iance Histor	y Summary	y spirit programme	
Compliance History	No adjustment due to compliance history.	initia sa	
Notes	de anni le au per depre se després de la company de l'	1 5 Cestái	
	Total Adjustment Percentage		_

Screening Date	3-Jul-2007	Docket No. 2007-1055-WQ-E	PCW
Respondent	Barker Development Company, LLC		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.	34112		PCW Revision June 26, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105232524		THE PARTY OF THE P
Media [Statute]			VOXVVX
Enf. Coordinator			priparaturi
Violation Number			around West-
Dula Cita(a)			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 281.25(a)(4)	and 40 Code of Federal Regulations § 122	.26(c)
Violation Description		orm water pollution prevention plan ("SWP3 scharge storm water at a construction site.	3"), and
		Base F	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a			AAAAA
Release	<b>Harm</b> Major Moderate Minor		WARRESTAND
OR Actual Potential		Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O		·
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	NATIONAL CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CO	VARIATION
(artificial)	X X	Percent 10%	ANNO ANNO ANNO ANNO ANNO ANNO ANNO ANNO
ELTER CAMPAGE	4		hardharooth
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requ	irement was not met.	
	REPORTED TO A SECRETARIO DE LA COMPANSIONE DEL COMPANSIONE DE LA C	Adjustment	\$9,000
	ARDANIRALANDA SERVITET MARKET PRINCIPLA PRODUCTION DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CO	Vellaguiene	——————————————————————————————————————
1			\$1,000
			A. A
Violation Events	AL REAL PROPERTY AND A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		
Number of Vi	olation Events 2	56 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event	Violation Base I	Penalty \$2,000
Two month	ly events are recommended from the i date of Jul	nvestigation date of May 8, 2007 to the screly 3, 2007.	eening
Economic Benefit (EB) for the	nis violation	Statutory Limit Test	
F-4:4-	od ER Amount	\$84 Violation Final Penal	ty Total \$2,000
Estimate	ed EB Amount	violation Final Penal	iy ι υιαι φ2,000
	This violat	tion Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	r limits) \$2,000

		conomic E	Benefit W	orksl	neet	en i i salayayayaya	Maria de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de
Respondent		oment Company, LL		energaankaan93650	ourantos do caratero. La companya de la companya de	sach von de entremé é sa été en Répública. La companya de la co	semmuniesesses nesisabilistadis
Case ID No.		, ,,					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105232524						
	Water Quality					I	Years of
Violation No.	•				All the second	Percent Interest	Depreciation
	r en fan it sakingskaat fan	des rocas distributos se a se		Managinalisa.	Bythillar class 3	5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
			Final Date	. 115	milerest Saveu		ED Alliount
Item Description	No commas or \$			11,1	Shire katawa	PRODUCTION	
and contains the c					overnience namengijenes	entranteror	
Delayed Costs	9 20 9		ALL A SHIP PROPERTY OF	1 00 1		An An	
Equipment			Stranger March	0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Bulldings Other (as needed)		<u> </u>		0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		<del> </del>		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
· I	\$2,000	8-May-2007	8-Mar-2008				
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$2,000	8-May-2007	8-Mar-2008	0.8	\$84 \$0	n/a n/a	\$84 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	0.8 0.0** WP3, and vestigation	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date i	n/a n/a nit to discharge storr s the estimated date	\$84 \$0 n water at a e of compliance.
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated construction s	costs to develop an	nd implement a S' the date of the in	0.8 0.0° WP3, and vestigation	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date i	n/a n/a nit to discharge storr s the estimated date one-time avoided o	\$84 \$0 n water at a e of compliance.
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	WP3, and vestigation	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date in tem (except for \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/t to discharge storr s the estimated date one-time avoided o	\$84 \$0 n water at a e of compliance.
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	WP3, and vestigation on tering it	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date in tem (except for \$0 \$0	n/a n/e lit to discharge storr s, the estimated date one-time avoided of \$0 \$0	\$84 \$0 in water at a of compliance. costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	WP3, and vestigation on tering it	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date is em (except for \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a  it to discharge storr it the estimated date  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	\$84 \$0 n water at a of compliance. sosts) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS DISPOSAI Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	WP3, and vestigation of the control	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date is tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a s, the estimated date one-time avoided o \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$84 \$0 in water at a e of compliance. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	0.8	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date is sem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a	\$84 \$0 in water at a e of compliance. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	WP3, and vestigation of the control	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date is tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a s, the estimated date one-time avoided o \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$84 \$0 n water at a e of compliance. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	0.8	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date in em (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a  nit to discharge storr s the estimated date  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$84 \$0 n water at a of compliance. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	0.8	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date in em (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a  nit to discharge storr s the estimated date  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$84 \$0 n water at a of compliance. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated construction s	costs to develop ar	nd implement a S' the date of the in	0.8	\$84 \$0 to obtain a perm n and final date in em (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a  nit to discharge storr s the estimated date  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$84 \$0 n water at a of compliance. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

# **Compliance History**

Custome	er/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602849556	Barke	r Development Con	npany, LLC	Classification	n: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01	
Regulated Entity:		RN105232524	RN105232524 BARKER DEVELOPMENT LAKE HILLS ESTATES		Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT		Site Rating: 3	3.0	
ID Numb	per(s):								
_ocation:		IMMEDIATELY S WEATHERFORD		08 FOUR TREES [ 37	DRIVE IN	Rating Date	9/1/2006 Repeat	Violator: NO	
TCEQ R	egion:	REGION 04 - DF	W METR	OPLEX					
Date Co	mpliance History Prepared:	July 06, 2007							
Agency	Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement				<u>-</u>			
Complia	nce Period:	July 06, 2002 to s	July 06, 2	007					
TCEQ S	taff Member to Contact for Additional Info	rmation Regarding t	his Com	pliance History					
Name:	Rajesh Acharya	Ph	one:	(512) 239-0577					
		Site C	omplia	nce History Con	nponents				
1. Has th	ne site been in existence and/or operation	n for the full five year	· complia	nce period?	Yes				
	nere been a (known) change in ownership				No				
	, who is the current owner?	<b>g</b>		p	N/A				
4. if Yes	s, who was/were the prior owner(s)?				N/A				
5. Wher	n did the change(s) in ownership occur?				N/A				
Compo	onents (Multimedia) for the Site :					•			
A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judge	ments, and consent	decrees	of the state of Texa	as and the federal	government.			
	N/A								
5	A consideration to the state of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
B.	Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A	r rexas and the rede	rai govei	nment.					
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.								
0.		·					1		
D	N/A	CCEDS Inv. Track	No.)						
D.	The approval dates of investigations. ( 1 06/25/2007 (562935)	CCEDS IIIV. Hack.	NO.)			•			
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CN/A	CEDS Inv. Track. N	0.)						
F.	Environmental audits. N/A								
G.	Type of environmental management s	ystems (EMSs).						•	
	N/A								
H.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessn	nent dates.							
	N/A								
I.	Participation in a voluntary pollution re	eduction program.							
	N/A								
J.	Early compliance.								
•	N/A								
Sites O	utside of Texas					,			
	N/A								

 $\label{eq:problem} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A$ 

en de la companya de la co

en de la companya de la co

na di Maria Na di Maria di Maria

and the second of the second o

en de la companya de la co

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
BARKER DEVELOPMENT	§	
COMPANY, LLC	§	•
RN105232524	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

## AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1055-WQ-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Barker Development Company, LLC ("Barker Development") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Barker Development appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Barker Development owns and operates a construction site for a single family housing development located at the lot immediately southeast of 3408 Four Trees Drive in Weatherford, Parker County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. Barker Development has committed any other act or engaged in any other activity which in itself or in conjunction with any other discharge or activity causes, continues to cause, or will cause pollution of any water in the state under Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Commission and Barker Development agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Barker Development is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Barker Development received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about July 1, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Barker Development of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

•			
•			

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Barker Development has paid One Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$1,600) of the administrative penalty and Four Hundred Dollars (\$400) is deferred contingent upon Barker Development's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Barker Development fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Barker Development to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Barker Development have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Barker Development has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Site, Barker Development is alleged to have failed to develop and implement a storm water pollution prevention plan ("SWP3"), and obtain permit coverage to discharge storm water at a construction site, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS § 122.26(c), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 8, 2007.

### III. DENIALS

Barker Development generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Barker Development pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Barker Development's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Barker Development Company, LLC, Docket No. 2007-1055-WQ-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that Barker Development shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Develop and implement a SWP3 to comply with the requirements of TPDES General Permit No. TXR150000; and
    - ii. Submit a completed Notice of Intent to:

Storm Water and Pretreatment Team, MC 148 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

andre de la companya La companya de la co

the self of the grown of the self of the s

The state of the s

Barker Development Company, LLC DOCKET NO. 2007-1055-WQ-E Page 4

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager
Dallas Fort/Worth Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
2301 Gravel Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Barker Development. Barker Development is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If Barker Development fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Barker Development's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Barker Development shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Barker Development shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Barker Development becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Barker Development shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Barker Development receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Barker Development in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Barker Development, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Barker Development, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

•	TCEQ ENFORCEMENT	Fax:512-239-0134	Nov 19 2007	3:29	P. 13	}	
	!			a service			
	Barker Development Com	pany, LLC					
	DOCKET NO. 2007-1055		garage and the second s	***			
	Page 5			4			
	,			1			•• 1
			. "		·,		
		SIGNATURE	PAGE		i		·.
				to a large	*** **		
			e <u>rranga</u> n saman kan dalam	<u> </u>			
A farmanian experience	TEXAS COMMISSION C	N ENVIRONMENTAL QUAL	<u>ITY</u>	<u> </u>	Aller State on Aller Co.		
		•					
			5.5	<b>*</b>			
111121111111111	and the second s						
	For the Commission		*			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
. 42 1	and the second second section of	in the second of	នាលា កិច្ច នៅស៊ីស៊ីស្គាន់ <b>គឺ</b> ស៊ី <mark>ន</mark> ែក្នុងស៊ី		s i i <b>ta</b> abaada i taabaa sa	lr. a medicalis ( B	al chalibrat
	Solu Sall	dia.	12/2	b 12	007		r S regul
	For the Executive Director	**** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date	1/		ati seen dee ee liid. Caati gaalaa a ta ii ati	
- , - ,	$\bigcirc$						- 111
	I, the undersigned, have re	ad and understand the attached	Agreed Order, I am	athori	zed to agre	e to the	
	attached Agreed Order on	behalf of the entity indicated b	clow my signature, ar	d I do	agree to th	e terms	
	and conditions specified	herein. I further acknowledge	that the TCEQ, in a	edeptin	g payment	for the	
	penalty amount, is materia	lly relying on such representation	n.				
	I also understand that failu	re to comply with the Ordering	Provisions, if any, in t	his ord	er and/or fa	ulure to	
The second of the second	timely pay the penalty am	ount, may result in:					
ing pagang agapan paganan sa Santan Santan Santan Bandaran Santan	<ul> <li>A negative impact</li> </ul>	on compliance history;		1	Alexandra A		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Creater scrutiny of Referral of this co	any permit applications submit se to the Attorney General's C	ted; office for contempt in	inctiv	e relief dd	ditional	
The section of the se		tiorney fees, or to a collection a		Juncuv		MUONAI	
	<ul> <li>Increased penaltie</li> </ul>	s in any future enforcement acti	ons;	. 1			:::
The said contains a second		to the Attorney General's Office	e of any future enforce	ement a	actions; ard		
		per relief as authorized by law. on of any compliance document	e may recult in crimins	l brose	eution		
Trigoria de la comunicación de la c	in addition, any talantican	off of any compliance document	S Hay Tosuit III CHEIRIGE		Port of the second	andra et la comunicación. Se englis estas esta	Transfer a
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•	
	1 1.	bee	11-19-	27		•	j. :
	Signature		Date	1			
	, organismo	e papere er e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e					
	D - R		0		-		
		to Person	Title	olem	1		
	Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative	e of	Title		a a ga a an		1
Maria de Balantino de	Barker Development Con		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		Lo April of the April of the	er er grænske i militær kjere	1184
The second secon			ourment to the Eining A		tion Divisial	Payantian	
en en en fransk sin G	Instructions: Send the original Section at the address in Section	l signed Agreed Order with penalty p	r	T		Acvenues	
504)2 <u>11485</u> X285X411	A STATE OF THE STA	rizatija kalenda se japan Dejim musj	The March of the Parish		a Same Tol.	The state of the s	
was a share of a contract of the state of th	real regulation of the property of the propert	The state of the s	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Fig. 1200 Fig. 1200 Fig. 1		
	***						
* * *							